

Conserving geographic numbers consultation response

Submitted by Mr Andrew Steele

Additional comments:

In reading the document I can find no indication of plans for the Keighley area code - 01535.

On receipt, Ofcom responded:

I take it that the absence of Keighley 01535 was the reason that you stated that the consultation was incomplete. Please let me know if there was any other reason behind your comment.”

Mr Steele responded:

Thank you for the clarification. Your conclusion was correct. However, area codes which are already subject to conservation measures were listed in paragraph 3.6 and would have been clearer if there had been a comprehensive list of codes already subject to these measures thereby avoiding confusion.

That confusion now removed, I consider it prudent to bring in the conservation measures as described in the consultation.

Question 1: Do you agree with Ofcom’s proposal for the 336 geographic area codes listed in the schedule to the notification in Annex 7 to be determined as Conservation Areas? Are there any codes which you think: a) should not have been included in the proposal, and/or b) should have been omitted? If so, please state which codes and for what reasons:

Since the consultation document is incomplete, I cannot comment other than to disagree with the proposal at this time.

Question 2: (directed to communications providers) Since we conducted our impact assessments on conservation area policy in 2006, are there any changes to the costs and impact on networks of implementing conservation measures that you want to make us aware of? If so, please set these out in your response, explaining the nature of the costs and/or constraints and any possible steps to overcome or reduce these:

n/a