

Title:

Name withheld

Forename:

Surname:

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

You may publish my response on receipt

Additional comments:

Unfortunately you seem to have again ignored any safety aspects of the use of the airband spectrum.

By charging for all Air to Ground communications just how many of the hundreds of small Airfields in this country do you think will pay any fee, let alone the exorbitant amount you intend to charge. This will then mean that light Aircraft will in fact be trying to land/take off without a safe means of communication.

I believe safety is more important than making money for the Government and expect this totally crass and obvious cash grabbing exercise to be a danger to life and must be illegal in European and UK law.

I will also remove my Air to Ground set from my Light Aircraft saving me £20 a year. As flying non-radio is legal in this Country I will therefore exercise my right to do so.

Question 1: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for licences in the aeronautical VHF frequencies are appropriate?:

NO

Question 2: In devising our revised proposals, have we identified all of the aeronautical uses of VHF communications frequencies which require a distinct approach to fee setting, as set out in tables 5 and 6?:

NO

Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal not to charge any fees for Fire assignments?:

YES

Question 4: Do you agree with our proposal to set a £75 fee for licences in any of the sporting frequencies?:

NO

Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to set an annual fee of £19,800 per ACARS or VDL assignment, with no variation related to the number of transmitters?:

NO

Question 6: Do you consider that our proposed approach to phasing in fees for use of the aeronautical VHF communications channels are appropriate? If there are particular reasons why you consider that any user or group of users would need longer phasing-in periods, please provide any supporting evidence for us to consider. Specifically, do you have any evidence for us to consider that would support either of Options 1 and 2 for the highest proposed fee in this sector?:

NO

Question 7: Do you have any further quantified information to contribute to the analysis of financial impacts of the proposed fees on particular spectrum users, as set out in Annex 5? We would like to publish all responses, but will respect the confidentiality of any material which is clearly marked as such.:

The financial impact of this proposal will cause deaths due to local Airfields not using Ground to Air radio.

Question 8: Do you consider that our assessment of the impacts of our proposals has taken full account of relevant factors? If you consider that there is additional evidence that would indicate particular impacts we should take into account, we would be grateful if you could provide this.:

NO