Question 1: Which of the three options do consultees favour, and why?:

option 2 because it advocates increased audio description on all channels

Question 2: Do consultees have any further suggestions for future access service provision? If so please provide the rationale for these suggestions:

i would favour much greater audio description, because it is audio pictures for blind people. it makes the difference between enjoying a broadcast which is largely visual and giving it up as a lost cause, when it is impossible because of long pauses in the dialogue and wondering why.

Comments:

audio description is the best access to television which has actually benefitted blind people.

unfortunately, option 2 only asks for 20 percent.

when you switch on your television, you naturally expect to see a visual image on your screen.

the government is always talking about equality, so why do people with sight problems have to be grateful for a service which is anything but equal.

i am borrowing my husband's computer, as i am not a natural user, so he said i could use his email address.