Question 1: Which of the three options do consultees favour, and why?:

Option 2. An increase in audio description to 20% for all channels required to provide access services. AD is the only way for some people to keep up with programmes they previously enjoyed before loosing their sight. We provide sign language for hearing loss, so why not audio descrition for Visually impaired people. This service may also help people with leaning difficulties who don't necessarily understand what's going on when people arn't talking.

Question 2: Do consultees have any further suggestions for future access service provision? If so please provide the rationale for these suggestions:

Comments: