Question 1: Which option (or variation of an option) for regulating the overall amount of advertising permitted on television channels do you prefer, and why? Do you agree that any rule changes that might result in a significant change to the number of commercial impacts should not come into force before 1 January 2010?:

Option 1 Status quo. Agreed not before 1/1/2010 (unless it is a reduction!

Question 2: Which option (or variation of an option) for regulating peak-time minutage on public service channels do you favour, and why? Do you agree that any rule changes that might result in a significant change to the number of commercial impacts should not come into force before 1 January 2010?: Option 4 Status quo.

Question 3: Do you agree that the 7am to 9am period should cease to be treated as a peak viewing period on public service channels? If so, do you agree that this change should come into effect shortly after Ofcom publishes its conclusions?:

Agreed. In force whenever. Never watch it due to poor quality of programming 'news' coverage, telephone scams, too many revenue generating 'givaways' and hidden advertising/sponsorship.

Question 4: Which option (or variation of an option) for regulating the number of advertising breaks do you favour, and why? Do you agree that any changes should come into effect shortly after Ofcom publishes its conclusions?:

Option 1 to avoid fragmentation of programs. Any worsening (increase in frequency) delayed to 2010.

Question 5: Do you support or oppose the idea of allowing more frequent breaks in programmes of autonomous parts? Please explain your reasons. Do you agree that any changes should come into effect shortly after Ofcom publishes its conclusions?:

Opposed to avoid fragmentation. (visit USA and watch TV there!!) Delay as long as possible.

Question 6: Do you think that the existing limit on the length of internal advertising breaks on PSB channels should be kept or scrapped? Please explain your reasons. Do you agree that any changes should come into effect shortly after Ofcom publishes its conclusions?:

No change

Question 7: Which option or options for regulating teleshopping do you favour, and why? Do you agree that any changes should come into effect shortly after Ofcom publishes its conclusions?:

Status quo to remain.

IA Question 1: Given the options being considered in this consultation document is it reasonable to maintain the assumption that there will not be any ?drop off? in audiences? If you disagree, please explain why.:

If relaxation would be more inclined to use 'tv on demand' and/or PVR to filter out ads by delaying watching. Overall would be counterproductive to adversing aims. Broadcasters and advertisers need to consider the impact of recent technology on their products.

IA Question 2: Do respondents agree that it is reasonable to focus on the elasticity approach for translating changes in the volume of impacts into changes in industry revenues and to move away from using the constant price premium and uniform price premium approaches? If not, please provide an explanation.:

Will respond to this when I update my studies in economics. Please advise question setters!

IA Question 3: Do you agree with our assessment of the impacts on stakeholder groups of this option and variants upon it? Please explain your reasoning, providing any evidence where relevant.:

Too hard to answer. I only have one degree.

IA Question 4: In the event that there were to be a reduction in the amount of airtime allowed for non-PSB channels, what would be the effect on the price of advertising on these channels? Would there be any effect on the relative prices of advertising between PSB and non-PSB channels? If so, please explain.:

IA Question 5: Do respondents consider that our approach to considering changes in the frequency of advertising breaks is reasonable? If not, please suggest alternative approaches that you have used, together with any results that you have generated.:

IA Question 6: Do respondents agree with our assessment of the likely scale of the impact of Option 2 for broadcasters? If not, please explain why and provide any relevant evidence that you may have.:

IA Question 7: Do you agree with the indicative results of our assessment of the impact of Option 3? If not, please explain your reasoning. If you are able to quantify the impact of this option, please submit that evidence with your response.:

IA Question 8: Do consultees agree with our assessment of the likely impacts of the different options? If not, why not? Can you suggest any alternative approaches to assessing the impact of the different options?:

IA Question 9: What evidence is there of pent-up demand for teleshopping services? Do channel operators consider that they could offer longer teleshopping windows or develop their own teleshopping services if the current restrictions were relaxed?: IA Question 10: What has been the impact on channels offering dedicated gaming services on the PSBs offering limited strands of similar programming? Please provide any data that you might have.:

IA Question 11: Do respondents agree with the above analysis in respect of the potential impact on PSBs and non-PSBs? If not, please explain why.:

IA Question 12: To what extent do respondents agree that the elasticity of demand for advertising could vary by time of day? Would this be applicable to all broadcasters or more relevant to some than others? Please provide any evidence that you might have to support your view.:

IA Question 13: To what extent do respondents consider that some of these approaches help to explain at least some of the differences between some industry perceptions of the elasticity of demand for advertising and the econometric data?:

## Additional comments:

Can the use of volume compression in adverts be revisited. I am slightly deaf and use raised volume. This can be intrusive during adverts due to use of compression/higher levels (probably affects my next door neighbour too.