

I have read the paper and comment as under:-

It takes no account of the duty to minimise, if not eliminate, interference by ensuring that licenses for transmission are scrutinised for interference-avoiding techniques and before confirming the licence, ensuring that the measures have been sufficient and implemented. As instance the apparent lack of control over Airwave. While the base stations are adequate, the mobiles are not, particularly when being used as repeaters.

In considering the switchover to Digital from Analogue terrestrial television, no, or insufficient, account is taken of extra-territorial interference nor to the ongoing consideration of whether it is safe to increase transmitter power before the analogue switchover.

In considering broadband supply, no account has been taken of the ISPs limiting of download quantity and the inability of consumers to monitor because the ISPs will not provide means of monitoring. This springs, I imagine, from the days of the Strowger exchange when a wall was covered with cyclometer meters which were the only way of measuring telephone usage for billing. That this is improved for telephone call charges [one can download the current usage on demand] this facility is not available to broadband customers - the lack of which facility seems to be against natural justice and, were I rich enough, would have tried at the European Court.

There is either no monitoring of TV broadcasters' adherence to license conditions in respect of adult material before the watershed and also of advertising amount or else Ofcom is relying on customers to be its eyes. I point out that there is a difference between delegation and abdication. The latter seems to be Ofcom's way.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Gordon