Question 1: Do consultees agree that these are appropriate policy objectives for Ofcom in considering possible alternative arrangements for signing on television?:

yes

Question 2: Do consultees agree that Ofcom has identified appropriate options?:

yes

Question 3: Do consultees agree with Ofcom?s reasons for rejecting the ideas described in paragraph 3.18?:

yes

Question 4: Do consultees agree with the proposals outlined in paragraph 3.32?:

yes

Question 5: Do consultees agree that the aim should be to put any new arrangements in place from the start of 2008?:

yes, the decisions should be laid by those who can use and understand BSL's linguisticis qualities. whoever, can sign to the highest standard of interpreting and presenting should considered.

In house training to be provide if are selected

Question 6: Do consultees have any comments on the impact assessment? Where possible, it would be useful for arguments about the cost of different options to be supported by relevant data.:

As an ex-TV interpreter, I stress that those individuals which were taken off the street and put in the front of camera without any appropriate training be given. To my dismay their signing are, at the moment, very poor quality of using abilities to translating from English to BSL.

Question 7: Do consultees consider that the proposed revisions to the Code are sufficiently clear?.:

As you mention that this code is sufficiently clear, alas, no!! I feel that the majority of us have some or difficulty to use two different languages at the same time when reading this code....

## **Comments:**

I might stress those of this code will not happen if there are right professional people doing these programmes. The real culprits for not letting the whole ball rolling are the niave people who know nothing of BSL and its attritubes which affect all of us even those people working in these studios, offices and meeting rooms. however, Any relevant decision is not confirmed by those who are native BSL users...