

Title: Mrs

Forename: Barbara

Surname: Aftelak

Representing (self or organisations): Organisation

Organisation Name : Flame FM

Question 1: Do you agree with the proposal to liberalise the restrictions on the issuing of S-RSLs in all areas where a new commercial service is advertised, or where a new commercial or community service is due to be, or has recently been, launched? If you do not agree with this proposal, please set out your preferred alternative explaining why you believe it would be a more appropriate option.: Yes - agree with 1 month prior to launch and 3 months after launch. Especially in view of RSL's that have no intention at all of wishing to trial for a longer licence and are happy to sign a declaration to that effect.

Question 2: What is the most appropriate way for Ofcom to decide between competing short-term RSL applications for broadcasts to cover the same event in the same area at the same time?: Providing the application form is good and acceptable, then there would appear to be no other way than granting the licence to the first to apply.

Question 3: Do you have any comments on other areas of S-RSL policy, as set out in the 'Notes for Applicants', that you would like to bring to our attention?: No. They appear to be very comprehensive notes.

Question 4: Do you agree with the proposal to allow commercial establishments to apply for L-RSL licences?: As long as this does not impinge on S-RSL's

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposal to offer L-RSLs for one-year as well as five-year period: As long as this does not impinge on S-RSL's

Question 6: Do you have any comments on other areas of L-RSL policy, as set out in the 'Notes for Applicants', that you would like to bring to our attention?: No.

Question 7: Do you agree that we should license 'ADS-RSLs' once the ADS trial ends on 31 August 2006?: As long as this does not impinge on S-RSL's

Question 8: Do you agree with the technical characteristics of the licence? If not, what alternative proposals do you have in mind?: Not qualified to comment on this.

Question 9: Do you agree with our conclusions on the potential interference issues concerning shared programme-making use of the spectrum? If you disagree, please give reasons.: Not qualified to comment on this.

Question 10: Do the current arrangements ensure that programme-making use of the sub-band at '': Not qualified to comment on this. (Not even sure the question is complete)

Question 11: If circumstances permit, should 'ADS-RSLs' be available for longer than five days, and if so what is/are the appropriate licence duration(s)?: Have not been involved in ADS-RSLs so not able to comment.

Question 12: If circumstances permit, should the link between an '': This question seems incomplete.

Question 13: Do you agree that the availability of 'ADS-RSL' licences should be extended to 'non broadcast' frequency bands other than 60.75 to 62.75 MHz?: Not having used ADS-RSL - no opinion.

Question 14: Do you have any comments regarding the costs and administration of 'ADS-RSLs'?: No

Question 15: Do you foresee interest in accessing up to 8MHz of frequencies in the 55 to 68 MHz band that are presently almost unused, and if so for what types of service and/or technology? Do you have any views on how Ofcom might release this spectrum to the market for use?: Our organisation has only ever been involved in S-RSL and therefore is not able to comment properly on this.

Additional comments: