## **Cover Sheet for response to an Ofcom Consultation**

BASIC DETAILS		
Consultation title:	Telephone Numbering - Safeguarding the future of numbers	
To / E-mail address:	Nic Green	
Name of respondent:	Name Withheld 11	
Representing (self or organisation/s):	self	
Address (if not received by email):		
CONFIDENTIALITY		
What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?		
Nothing		Name/address/contact details/job title
Whole Response		Organisation
Part of the Response		If there is no separate annex, which parts?
Note that Ofcom may still refer to the contents of responses in general terms, without disclosing specific information that is confidential. Ofcom also reserves its powers to disclose any information it receives where this is required to carry out its functions. Ofcom will exercise due regard to the confidentiality of information supplied.		
DECLARATION		
I confirm that the correspondence supplied with this cover sheet is a formal consultation response. It can be published in full on Ofcom's website, unless otherwise specified on this cover sheet, and I authorise Ofcom to make use of the information in this response to meet its legal requirements. If I have sent my response by email, Ofcom can disregard any standard e-mail text about not disclosing email contents and attachments.		
Ofcom seeks to publish responses on receipt. If your response is non-confidential (in whole or in part), and you would prefer us to publish your response only once the consultation has ended, please tick here.		
Name	Signed (if hard copy)	

Sirs.

The most important things about telephony are surely transparency - that customers know when they are being charged premium rates for a phonecall, and choice – that customers can make vital phonecalls without being forced to use premium phone numbers.

Companies should not be able to hide their non-geographic numbers for reasons of profit – but privacy considerations for the individual should remain paramount:: any regulations should be drawn up so individuals cannot suffer. Trading should be transparant, and personal privacy a right.

May I propose that, as is common in America, a short recorded message is transposed between dialling and connection advising customers of any excessive charges – above the national average cost of a call, ie on 0870 numbers and such, and that alternative numbers be readily available to the consumer, enforced by legislation.

Where companies use the premium call rate to make money (insidious junk mail/calls/faxes) there should be an absolute obligation to publish non-geographical service numbers.

Where calls are deliberately made long to accrue profit there should be repeated information messages detailing charge calls and accumulated costs.

Where companies are charging customers directly for performing vital functions by phone – such as reporting faults, mistakes, or asserting their rights under consumer legislation such as the Sale of Goods Act, there should be provided an alternate non-premium number by law (or telephone supplier contract) or this will result in a diminution of rights whereby the cost of repairing a wrong may be more than the cost of suffering it – we already have this problem in the courts.

The iniquity of the consumer paying for what should be an integral part of company operations cannot be allowed to go on. A company should suffer for its faults rather than be able to profit by them, and should not be able to inflate its balance sheets by offloading the costs of legally required customer interaction onto the consumer.

Essential calls to premium numbers should also be tax deductible or refundable where necessary.