

BASIC DETAILS	
Consultation title: Telephone numbering – safeguarding the future of number	ers
To (Ofcom contact): Nic Green	
Name of respondent: Richard Sewell	
Representing (self or organisation/s): Welsh Assembly Government	
Address (if not received by email):	
CONFIDENTIALITY  What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?  Nothing  Name/contact details/job title  Whole response  Organisation  Part of the response  If there is no separate annex, which parts?	
If you want part of your response, your name or your organisation to be confidential, can Ofcom still publish a reference to the contents of your response (including, for any confidential parts, a general summary that does not disclose the specific information or enable you to be identified)?	



## DECLARATION

Х

I confirm that the correspondence supplied with this cover sheet is a formal consultation response. It can be published in full on Ofcom's website, unless otherwise specified on this cover sheet, and I authorise Ofcom to make use of the information in this response to meet its legal requirements. If I have sent my response by email, Ofcom can disregard any standard e-mail text about not disclosing email contents and attachments.

Ofcom seeks to publish responses on receipt. If your response is non-confidential (in whole or in part), and you would prefer us to publish your response only once the consultation has ended, please tick here.

Name Richard Sewell

Signed (if hard copy)

## Nic Green

Competition Group Riverside House 2A Southwark Bridge Road London SE1 9HA

Dear Nic Green

## Telephone numbering: safeguarding the future of numbers

I am providing the Welsh Assembly Government's response to Ofcom's consultation on telephone numbering – safeguarding the future of numbers. We would like to thank Ofcom for the opportunity to provide a response on this issue.

We agree with the underlying strategic principles guiding Ofcom's policy approach, identified in this consultation as:

- to maintain the availability of telephone numbers to consumers, so that they can access the services that they value
- to maintain the continuity and meaning that is provided by numbers and which is valued by consumers
- to allocate numbers in a manner that delivers the benefits of competition to consumers, and that does not inappropriately discriminate between different providers, or the networks and technologies used by those providers
- to allocate and manage numbers in a manner that does not unnecessarily expose consumers to abuse

We aknowledge Ofcom's proposed numbering plan and agree that it has the potential to introduce clarity for both consumers and suppliers, to improve tariff transparency and consumer protection and to introduce more efficient mechanisms for allocating numbers in future.

Regarding the need to increase the supply of geographic numbers, we note that Ofcom has identified the following three options:

- overlay codes
- closing the numbering scheme
- wide area codes

We understand that the wide area codes option involves instigating a code change, requiring consumers to change their telephone number according to a set migration plan. A code change would involve combining every existing area code currently beginning with the digits 01 with other 01 area codes into much larger geographic areas (i.e., wide areas), and giving this wide area a code beginning with the digits 02 or 03.

We note that Ofcom has a plan for implementing wide area codes in the UK that involves splitting the UK into 15 wide area codes according to regional boundaries, with ten codes for England, three for Scotland and one each for Wales and Northern Ireland.

We understand that the whole of the 029 code has been set aside for Wales, but that only Cardiff required an additional supply of numbers when the 02 codes were initiated in 2000. The remaining codes in Wales were not migrated at that time although under the wide area code plans, there are 029

XX codes set aside for the remainder of Wales if and when required.

We recognise that pursuing this option would have implications for residential consumers and businesses as set out by Ofcom in this consultation, as:

- need for detailed planning
- 10k blocks of numbers tied up
- cost and inconvenience to businesses (particularly small businesses)
- lack of public appetite
- cost and disruption to all stakeholders

We note that the preferred option set out by Ofcom for inceasing the supply of geographic numbers is the overlay codes option as this provides an effective means of providing additional number resource in a manner that is targeted at specific areas.

We also note, however, that the migration to 028 for Northern Ireland supports the suggestion made by Ofcom in paragraph A1.76 of this consultation that an area with a strong sense of regional identity, could convey this identity by introducing a wide area code.

We think, therefore, that Ofcom should look more closely at Wales in order to further explore all three options. We believe that the UK-wide market research referred to in the Numbering Review: Report of Market Research Findings document attached to this consultation should be repeated in Wales to enable a detailed analysis of all three options to be carried out from a Welsh perspective. A cost/benefit analysis of these options, within the context of the underlyning strategic principles set out above, would enable Ofcom to make a recommendation that best supports the needs of households and businesses in Wales.

Yours sincerely

## Richard Sewell

Head of Telecommunications Policy