

## Ian Chambers

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 1:</b> We consider that the launch of the four DAB+ music stations and the extension of broadcast hours for BBC 5 Sports Extra are material changes. If you disagree, please explain why you consider the BBC’s published proposals are not material.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>I agree with you</p>
<p><b>Question 2:</b> Do you consider that the BBC’s published proposals are clear in relation to their scale (both in terms of financial resource and in terms of reach and type of content) and the timescales for implementation? If not, please provide details of the areas where you feel more clarity is required.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes – until proposal agreed, it would be foolish for the BBC to work on the detail</p>
<p><b>Question 3:</b> Did the BBC’s PIT consultation processes provide suitable opportunity for you to set out your views fully? If not, please provide details.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Question 4:</b> Given that both proposals raise a number of contentious issues, it is our intention to undertake full BCAs for both. If you disagree and think that Ofcom should undertake Shorter Assessments, please explain why.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Should be shorter assessments, pointless to waste resources on this</p>
<p><b>Question 5:</b> Do you agree with the BBC’s assessments in its PITs about the potential public value and/or market impact of the proposals? Are there any additional public value and/or competition considerations that have not been identified by the BBC?</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes, I agree</p>
<p><b>Question 6:</b> Do these proposals lead to any significant market impact concerns which might affect your own services? If so, please explain how the BBC’s proposals would affect your services if they go ahead.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>No</p>